M	
Summary of Top Priority Recommendations	
PFS Detailed Recommendations12	
Regulatory Impact Analysis12	
Conversion Factor	
Clinical Labor Pricing Update13	
Rebasing and Revising the Medicare Economic Index (MEI); Strategies for Updates to Practice Expense Collection and Methodology14	Data
Potentially Misvalued Services Under the Physician Fee Schedule, and Valuation of Specific.Codes16	
Immunization Administration (CPT Codes 90460, 90461, 90471, 90472, 90473, and 90474)16	
Code Descriptor Changes for Annual Alcohol Misuse and Annual Depression Screenings (HCPCS Codes and G0444)	3 G0442
Chronic Pain Management and Treatment Bundles (HCPCS GYYY1 and GYY2)18	
Behavioral Health Services18	
Evaluation and Management (E/M) Visits, including Valuation antd(6p8hared) Visits	

B B B M

#### A MMM

- i. **w** NB ACP understands that CMS cannot unilaterally address the scheduled CY23 cuts, and we **ca**llCongress to reinstate the positive adjustment, waive the 4 percent PAYGO requirement, and make a significant time and monetary investment into ensuring that those who need care are able to receive the College also urges CMS and congressional leadse to address the greater challenge of the long-standing issue of budget neutrality.
- ii. **B B B A** The College is pleased that CMS has implemented a four year transition to update clinical labor pricingCP encourages CMSptartner with physician organizations to determine how to update the cost data more frequently and fairly compensate physicians for rising rates of clinical labor, including the impact of inflation and increased needs for clinical staff due to demand.

MBA WEMBA B BYABM M B YM B A

i.

ii.

methodology and strongloncourages CMS to collaborate the physician organizations, including both small and large physician practices of further recommends that any updates be postponed until there has been an opportunity to examine ossible avenues and stakeholders have had a chance to perform abconstitit analysis for each including assessing the impact to physician practices that provide care for the most vulnerable population and the burden some and one rous tasks that may accompany these efforts, particularly if repeated on an ongoing babine College also strongly recommends CMS work with congressional leaders to address the fundamental challenges with the PFS system, incorporate specialty society input, and maintain transparency and open communication.

B BWW WBM NBB BB M İ. BB BBM B M

The College continues **te**iterate to CMS the importance of reimbursement for vaccine counseling, not juadministration. ACP strongly urge the Agency to work with stake holders in creating and reimbursing for vaccine counseling codes.

M BA MBM MNMBB AMMThe Collegeupports the proposedmodifications to G0442 and G0444 as part of an effort to allow physicians to efficientlyfurnish the service, absent minimum time requirements. ACP would further recommendthat CMS take an additional look at whether G0442 and G04d4d she reevaluated toensure sufficient reimbursement that supports utilization and increasing need acrossthe beneficiary population.

iii. **B B A M** ACP agrees and supports the proposed revisionship chronic pain management codes. The College believes it would be beneficial to allow separate payment for pain management and treatment services. The College wo**als**brecommendthat CMS consider defining lasting longer than one month.

iv. **WB WB M** access to behavioral health services

- i. ACP is pleased that CMS has revised its policy to permit audiologists to furnish certain diagnostic tests. The College is confident that these revisions will broaden patient access to these services and remove the administrative burden associated with the requirement that physicians must approve audiology tests.
- B M WBM
- i. ACP is pleased that the CY23 PFS proposed rule includes increase by ife faction dental surgeries performed in hospital operating rooms. However, for reasons stated through this letter, ACP strongly cautions CMS against adding any such services that affect budget neutrality. The College also wishes to use this opport ton it with the (unfortunate) reality of these concerns only further underscores the need to address budget neutrality and the derivatives that constrain the collective efforts of medicine.
- Y MB W A BA BA B M
- i. ACP is very pleased that CMS has taken steps to update Medicare coverage and payment policies to make it easier to get colorectal cancer screenings and help improve access to earlier treatment.
- i.

on-making, we caution CMS against increasing

administrative burden.

- V. Y B B YB BBB M B VBMB B M The College opposes the proposed policy to revert toynchronous direct supervision done cause this places an extra onush the preceptor/supervisor to be in the same vicinity as the supervisee (the resident or fellow the physician is supervising). ACP strongly believes that direct supervision does not have to be synchronous and there is no reason to require synchronous direct supervision.
- vi. BAB B A B B B M The College is very pleased that CMS is proposing to implement provisions of the 2021 al health

telehealth services furnished on or after the end of the CONSIDPHE. However, the College is disappointed that CMS did not broaden the scope of services for which geographic restrictions do not apply to include telehealth services furnished *nly* for the purpose of diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a mental health disorder, but also all other telehealth services as approved at the time, effective for services furnished on or after the end of the PHE. The College continues to recomment CMMS permanently extend the policy to waive geographical and originating site restrictions after the conclusion of the PHE fat/ telehealth services; when clinicians do not offerdio-only services, additional disparities in care are created and perpetualled.College is disappointed that CMS will be implementing provisions of the 2021 and 2022 CAAs that establish a @nonth in person requirement for mental health telehealth services for 151 days after the end of the PHE, ACP questions why these extensions would be limited to 151 days and would not be made permanent.

- BB MB BB
- i. ACP does not believe the proposal to maintain the \$3 fee appropriately accounts for the cost of furnishing the service, nor the fact that costs have risen yet the collection fee has remained the same for several yearsheCollege strongly urges CMS to revise its proposal to increase payments mmensurate with the costs of performing the service.

- a.While the ACP is pleased with the prospect of expanding the definition of a high priority measure to include health equityelated measures, the College would appreciate greater specification on the tige ardrails of such a measure.
- ii.

В

- w
- a.The College is encouraged by the proposed changes to the CAHPS for MI(gTAp305E01B

Х.	В	Y	Α	BN	м	BB	BAV	м
	ΒΑΥ	Α						
								-or-

b. The College believes it is crucial that other stakeholder feedback is sought, particularly from other clinicians not involved in the development of MVP as well as patients.

iv.	В	MM	AA	В	Μ	ВM
	a.					

b. ACRs calling on Congress to intervene to provide CMS with the statutory authority.

ii.	M
	a.ACP expresses disappointment that the QP threshold will not be frozen and is
	proposed to increase to 75 points for the 2023 performance year
	b.For futureperformance thresholds, ACP suggests using the mean or median
	from 2021 performance year data when it becomes available.
iii.	<u> </u>
	a.The College expresses agreement with many of the concerns mentioned regarding the expiration of the APM Incentive.
	b.The expiration of this incentive will significantly impact the entrance to and retention of APMs.
	c.The limited incentives currently available may not be enough to maintain participation once the APM incentive payment expires.
В	BM
	NBM

## Conversion Factor

Α

**M B** For CY23, the proposed conversion factor is \$33.08 (rounded), representing a decrease of \$1.53 (or roughly 4.5 percent), as compared to the CY22 conve**ction** of \$34.61. This decrease is a result of budget neutrality adjustments, as required by law, as well as the required statutory update to the conversion factor for CY23 of zero percent and the expiration of the three percent increase to physician payment

account for<u>decades of disnvestmen</u>tnor a nearly 10 percent inflation rate that has driven up costs for both physicians and their patients he College as <u>warned</u> that these cuts are unsustainable and negatively impact the Medicare product, and a result we are quickly approaching a time where millions of beneficiaries ould be without a dependable option for healthcare.

		В	ММ	м	М	А	MM	ВМ		MB BAV
м		BW			В		MBA	вв	в	
BWM	В	МВА	N	1			BA	/ В		

Though physicians are alarmed by the continued uncertainty regarding the conversion factor and the overall impacton reimbursement, M B B MM

**B** An MGMA report conducted in 2019 found that over 67 percent of medical practices reported that Medicare payments would not cover the cost of delivering care to beneficiaries. Since its release, the healthcare community has endured a global pand**anddi**sing costsdue to inflation,yet physician payments have continued to fallese are all factors that contribute to the growing disparities in access to care and physician shortages. As a result, physicians ared**fastistt** has weighedheavilyon their ability to accept new Medicare beneficiaries due to edecreasing reimbursement rates. The College urges CMS to seriously consider the impacts to patient access and ensure that Medicare remains a robudependable option for those who need it the most.

	Α	М	Α	М		Α	MMB	М	МИ	Α		Α	Α
М	BABMM			Α	В	The	College	recent <mark>jøin</mark>	edover 1	00 or	ganization	s in e	expressing
conc	ceu [(co	o)-6(r	า20	G [(792 re	W'	'nE	3T 792 re	e 757 rg	0.0196 0.	388 (	).757 RG	[(d)1	4(ecades)10( o)-7(f)12( o

rate information, and result in distortions in the allocation of direct We.remainencouragedhat those physicians who rely primarily on clinical labor rather than supplies and equipment will receive relative increases that are commensurate witheir true costs. A M B

MBBABBM B M B

independent practices, and this subset of the population is not equipped with the same resources as large health systems; that is, small and independent practices often have one administrative staff person as compared to large health systems that have a host of accossinated financial officers. The

declined during the COVID9 pandemic. Both proposals could have an impact on immunization rates for clinicianadministered vaccines.

**M B** CMS is proposing to adopt most of the **Ca**Td RUGecommended changes to several EM code families, including hospital inpatient; hospital observation visits; consultations; and services in the emergency department, nursing facility, home, and residence. These proposals are part of the ongoing updates to E/M visits, like those finalize the CY21 PFS final rule for office/outpatient E/M visit coding and documentation.

ABMY М Μ BW Α м м BB R M MB R R м MWR м MR A MACP washeavily Α MWB MB WENB М Α WENBM involvedin the development othese recommendations via the GRUCprocess If finalized, the College strongly believes thatese revisions will lead to a significant reduction of administrative burden given thestreamlineddescriptors. Furthermore, these revisions will allow fetter recognition of the resources involved in these visits, and hospitated specialties like those within internal medicine may see a mucheeded increase compared to prior years. For these reasons, м В В мм WEWB M

W MNMA BB M A BA A M WB M M As proposed, CMS will create three new G codes (GXXX1, GXXX2, and GXXX3) to describe prolonged services for hospital, nursing facility, and home visits incethe Agency believes the CPT reporting guidelines for prolonged service 993X0 will lead to duplitize payment and confusion regarding total time spent per patient. CY23CMS also proposes to make CPT codes 99358 and 99359 invalid for Medicare purposes as the Agencyassers it would cause confusion and invite duplicative billing. In response to the CMM21 PFS final rule, the College xpressed concerns

recommended would upend the work done by the CPT Editorial Panel and the RUC to clarify the code descriptor for99417. Rather than doing so, CMS finalized policy forpagement of 99417 with a substitution to report G2212 Prolonged service office or other outpatient) instead.

Т

for prolonged E/M services creatile same issues.

proposed rule, the Agncy is deciding not to propose the Rt&Commended work RVU of 3.50 because it believes this service is appropriately valued more highly than the analogous office/outpatient E/M visit code, CPT code 99205. In the interest of supporting access to this exectly is instead proposing an increase from the current 3.80 to 3.84 to account for the increase in physician time with use of a total

time	e ratio.	Α	Μ	М	BM	M	В	Μ						Μ
			BWM	BVE	3	м		В		Μ	В	МВ	MBB	
В	М		В		В			м	В		ВА			

# Split (or Shared) Visits

**M B** For CY23, CMS is proposing to delay the split (or shared) visits policy finalized in CY22 for the definition of substantive portion until January 1, 2024. Rather than the substantive portion being defined as more than half of the total time, the substantive for a visit may be met by any of the following elements:

- 1. History;
- 2. Performing a physical exam;
- 3. Medical decision making; or
- 4. Spending more than half of the total time.

The Agency notes that this delay is a direct result of ongoing concernstfee@ollege and other

internal processes or information systems to track visits based on time, rather than MDM. Although proposing a delay in the trantisin, CMS continues to believe it is appropriate to define the substantive portion of a split (or shared) service as more than half of the total time. This proposal, however, is intended to allow for the changes in the coding and payment policies forientation observation E/M visits to take effect for CY23 and allows for a -grear transition for physicians and other practitioners to get accustomed to the new changes and adopt their workflow in practice.

 ${\bf M}$  In response to the CY22 FFS fin  ${\bf k} {\bf k}, r {\bf A} CP$  and nearly twenty other organizations

## expressed concerns

substantive portion would be defined only as more than 50 percent of the **tioted** spent, we cautioned against the implications for physic**iad**vanced practitioner (AP) reimbursement plans, as well as the detrimental impact on the care delivery model and the patient experience. Therefore, we urged CMS to discontinue its policy **amot** move forward with the transition set to take effect in 2023.

ABM M			BM MBA	BBB AM	
MBM WEMBM	B B B	в	W B BMB	BMN	n mw
М	В	WB M	Additionally, allowing	only one year to educe	the physician
and AP commco1 (	0 0 1 42r.8	3 2 11.04	4 Tf 1001476.8320	02.01 Tm 0 g 0 G [(the	e ph)14(y)-3(sicia)3(n)3( )] T

family.				ſ	N		MB B		BB	м		В	Α	В		м
	w	В		MBB	М			В	BM	в				MB E	3	
М	в		М		В	В	ВА		MN	1	BW	В		VENB	В	
w														s in colla	bo	rating

90-

expanded to addess concerns about potential underreporti(ige., the sensitivity analysisthe patterns were similar to what was observed in the main analysist(a)global periods= 7 percent; 90dayglobal periods recommendation that CMS should start the updates with the 10day periods, which will also prove more manageable.

Beginning with the 10day global periodvill additionally allow CMS and stakeholders to examilitate challenges regarding the possible **acqute** reporting of E/M codes, as well as the relevant impoact practice expense and physician work. It would further permit time for the specialtilesgion doing a self-examination of the 90day global periods antiguring outhow to address the potential overvaluation via the CPRUC process. M A M B W W VB

B W MBBMBMB BMB AMB ABMonlyBMB AYM mactually BIn considering thesecomments, the College welcomes the opportunity to discuss further with CMS representatives.

M BABMM BM B BA MB MM B MB B

**M** In the CY97 PFS final rule, CMS establistseding standing policy that all diagnostic tests, including audiology tests, must be ordebydthe physician the CY98 PFS final rule, the Agency clarified that *only* the physician can approve routine hearing evaluation and since audiologists were not authorized they were unable toneet the order requirement for these services. In response to stakeholder feedback, CMS nisw proposing to revise it policy by removing the order requirement under certain circumstances for certain audiology fer services furnished by an audiologist.

BM M M WBM BM B B B ABMM

Υ	MB	w	Α	ВА	ВА	вМ

**M** In CY19, the last year for which incidence data are available, ectal cancer accounted for the 4<sup>th</sup> highest rate of new cancer cases an 4<sup>th</sup> dhaghest rate of cancer deaths in the United States.

The College understandhat CMS believes it does not have the statutory authority to waive the audio visual standard thainforms the belief that by their nature, audionly services cannot meet the requirement the service be analogous to in-person care by being a substitute for fatceface care. However, older age grout/se Black population and beneficiaries in rural communitiese already faced with significant hurdles in accessing healthcare, for a vacity of reasons. A M R MM м м In realizing the continued coverage of audioly E/M М в WEVI services. М А М ВΒ в BMBM Α В ΒА в MMMM WB w В WENBM вв м WB B WBM and В

For reasons of retaining and improving patient access, supportial the quity, and providing appropriate compensation, м м В w Α в м Α м АМ мм BNB В BBA в MBB This discretion should be the state of the s MWBM м WB в w mm B WEM with the physician and CMS should st their clinical decision making rather than remove coverage altogether. In determining appropriate valuation, ACP is cognizant of the eptthat furnishing a service via audionly may not require the same resource inputs as available or face services However, if there is too big a delta between audionly and audiovisual or faceto-face care, then audio-only will not be utilized and patients will be without the benefits.oaddress these factors

Α	AM	AA B	MM MM	в у мв м
WB	В	WBMB M	МИ М	MBBM
	BB	B M MM B	MWBMBM MW	

## Emotional/behavior Assessment, Psychological, or Neuropsychological Testing and Evaluation Services

WВ

m CMS received several requests to actual/behavior, psychological, or neuropsychological testing and evaluation services, including those described by CPT codes 97151 97158, to the Medicare Telehealth Services List permanently on a Category 2 basis. These services are currently on the Melicare Telehealth Services List temporarily for the duration of the PHE. In considering this request, the Agency is proposing to include these services for temporary inclusion on a Category 3 basis. These services were not originally included on a Categoris after the initial assessment, but CMS noted there is likely to be a clinical benefit when furnished via telehealth, so they meet the criteria for temporary inclusion. B Α В Μ М М MMMM WBB BW B WEM Α Α М M Emotional/behavior health is in crisis and WB B A BB BM BA Μ

BA

В

В

B

BM

М

В

BM

В

Μ

 ${\bf M}$  As discussed arlier, the AMA has formed a joint C  ${\bf R} {\bf U} {\bf C} {\bf T}$  elemedicine Office Visits

wid	ely d	loc	ument	ed in	the	lite	rature.	м	Α	М		М	в		в	W		A	В	
	M١	NB	м	В	ΒB	м			В		М	WB	М	BB	BM	BBN	/B			
		Α	BM M	BM	E	3			В	в			ΒА	WENB	м				ſ	м
	м	I	BM		в	I	м	в							I	VI WB	м	The Col	lege	
beli	ieves	s th	hat the	re are	e ma	any	positive	asp	ects	of b	oth	n ph	one a	and vid	eo vi	sits th	at l	benefit p	batien	ts (i.e.,
acc	esst	to	other f	amily	me	mbe	ers, trar	nspor	tatio	n is	sue	æ,æ	bility	to cheo	ck me	edicati	on	s, etc.) a	and se	es
	ممانط	-	tionala		inin		opligatio	on fo				h			~~ ~		+ :	noroor	for a	montal

no solid rationale or clinical application for requiring a physician to see a patient in person for a mental health exam. This requirement is not based on medical necessity, and the College is opposed to imposing regulations that do not improve patient safety or outcomes. This policy would additionally hamper many psychiatrists who a44 556us:or patients outside of their locality from aontinuing to a44e for many of their patients, unless the-**p**rerson visit could blocal for the patient and conducted in partnership with a prima4y care physician. If CMS' imposition of this requirement is based on fraud and abuse concerns for audionly visits, the Agency should consider the many informatics solutions solutions could be impl 556umented to eliminate such concerns

в A BM в м м R MMBM Y MB M ΜY MB M В В м If these services can be effectively delivered via telehealth for 151 days after the end of the PHE, there appears to be no reason why they a4nnot be effectively delivered via telehealth thereafter, for the long term. Therefore, we question the bitrary 151 day limit to avverage of these servicesand urge CMS toontinue to work withCongress and stakeholdets cover these services permanently.

BB MB BB

Only Tel Tm 1 9 0 0 1 236.2 [(J)0 6125-10(0ry 0 0 for.96 T

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> UscherPines L, Sousa J, Jones M, et al. Tel 55(h)-4(e)4(alt)-3(h)-4(Us)-17(e)4(Am)-9(o)-2(n)-4(g Sa)-3(f)4(e)4(ty)] TJ E

**M** In the CY23 PFS proposed rule, CMS is proposing to codify and clarify various laboratory specimen collection fee poles. The Agendy alsosoliciting comments on the proposal to maintain the \$3 nominal specimen collection fee amount, including how this amount could be updated re appreciative of these efforts engage with the healthcare community, but the College is concerned that the \$3 nominal fee does not cover the true costs in collecting the sant/pheile we understand that CMS is statutorily required to pay nominal fee to cover the *appropriate* **M** 

	BW	м	В	В					В		М		Μ	BV	1 B A	
М	WB		М	М	w	BM				В	М	в		м	MW	
	м In light	of this,		Α	м	Α	Α	м		WBM BN	1	м	в	М	М	
	м	в	м	N			ΒА	м	WB	In doin	g so,	ACP	enco	ourages	CMS to wo	ork with
he	healthcareorganizations tonform the recommended increases.															

BB	в	м			В	w	Α	ВВ	М	BM	BM	ВВ
		Α	м	м	WB	м						

## Mobile Components Operated by OTPs

**M** Over the last two decades, neal **0**,000 peopledied from an opioid overdose in the United States. From 2019 to 2020, the number of drug overdosesasedby 31percent The increase in drug overdose rates was rticularly highfor Black and American Indian/Alaska Native populations, who also report difficulty accessing evidertizesed subtance use disorder treatmentitural populations also experience substance use disorder treatment barriers. Mobile substance use disorder treatment programs may helpmprove accesto methadone, buprenorphine, and other medication assisted treatment among underserved populations, including people who are homeless and residents of areas м м м в ΔΔ в м D м

aroad				-			-		-	
	MM	MWBM	WB	MB	в	В	В	MB		BW
	MB	BB								

## Flexibilities for OTPs to Use Telecommunications for Initiation of Treatment with Buprenorphine

M The COVID 9 pandemicamplified the needor remote substance use disorder treatment options to ensure continuity of care. Flexible prescribing policies issued by the Erforcement Agency and the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration have helped to provide continuous access to treatment then in person visits are not possible-therson buprenorphine treatment may be difficult for theillions of peoplewho do not live close to a buprenorphinewaived prescriber. B B B A YB BBB M в М Μ BBB B в B WEM BBB M WВ

B M B B A M M

M The College is supportive of the Small Prescriber Exception, having long been concerned that many small and independent physician practices are not in the position to cover the costs and acquire the necessary resources for technical or system upgrades required to incorporate EPCS into their existing EHRs. M B BM Y B M

МВВ	A W				evalua	ted	ВМ	preceding
В		МВ	BB M	Y	В	м		

мм м в м This would allow the Small Prescriber Exception to align with all other

The College is

The College is soconcerned that proposed approaces to advancing the use of standardized data, achievingFHIRbased electronic clinical quality measures (eCQM) reporting framing around defining data standards and exchange mechanisms for Hold Sed dQM are misdirected. While ACP agrees that the standardization of vocabulary and terminology within EHRs is needed, the College does not agree that physicians have control over the vocabutanty/or terminology in their EHRACP encourages the Agency to consideartnering with ONC and quiring EHR vendors update and standardize their languagend maintain consistency between different systeinstead of misguidedly placing the responsibility of this change on physicians and their care teames collegensists that any potential regulations require vendors tonakethosemandated changes available to practices free of charge, so that the functionalitydoes not become component of for which vendorsupcharge. BWM MA BWBA В BB м MM В Α B MB м В м w MB M MBB M A M Α ΑΜ в м Μ Α

Μ

М

AM

М

в

М

в

м

	м	В	BM	м	в	м	Y	ВА	ввв	BA
ВВ										

Quality	Quality	Quality Measure Description	ACP Comments
Measure #	Measure Name		
			300,000 Americans with RA. This should
			only be appicable to physicians who are
			managing and providing medical therapy
			for RA. Most often, this measure will apply
			to rheumatologists, but primary care
			physicians may also manage RA.
476	Urinary	Percentage of patients with an	,
	Symptom Score	office visit within the	unable to provide a comment at this time.
	Change 612	measurement period and with	
	Months After	a new diagnosis of clinically	
	Diagnosis of	significant Benign Prostatic	
	Benign	Hyperplasia who have	
	Prostatic	International Prostate	
	Hyperplasia	Symptoms Score (IPSS) or	
		American Urologial	
		Association (AUA)	
		Symptom Index (SI)	
		documented at time of	
		diagnosis and again 62	
		months later with an	
		improvement of 3 points.	ACD a success that this was a success in highly
TBD	Screening for	Percent of beneficiaries 18	ACP agrees that this measure is highly
	Health	years and older screened for	
	riedli	food insecurity, housing instability, transportation	
		needs, utility difficulties, and	
		interpersonal safety.	
		interpersonal salety.	

Quality	Quality	Quality Measure Description	ACP Comments
Measure #	Measure Name		
			ACP would also like to see the measure revised to require the AHC HRSN and oth validated instruments.
TBD	Kidney Health Evaluation	Percentage of patients aged	

Quality	Quality	Quality Measure	ACP Review Date	ACP Review Rationale
Measure #	Measure Name	Description		
Measure #	Measure Name	Description		the Centers for Disease Control and Preventions (CDC) Advisory Committee While we support this measure, we suggest developers consider revising the specifications to include extusion criteria for patient, medical, and system reasons for vaccination not given. Additionally, we note that
				the measure is nearly

Quality Quality Measure # Measure Name

BM MABB Y M BBBM BM This measure also fails to account for the binical relevance and value at the point of care, as there is no value in querying for

MACP is appreciative and supportive of belief in the importance of taking a patient centered approach to health information access and related efforts to move towards a system in which patients have immediate access to their electronic health information and can be assured that their health information willfollow them as they move throughout the healdare system However, the College has serious concerns about existing digital divide in this nation, which were not addressed within this RFI. Most patient portals are Englisonly, leaving most non English speakers with no way of navigating their own health information. Wendors do not want to translate information due to liability concerns, meaning that i

# MVPs and APM Participant Reporting Request for Information (from PR)

**M** The College agrees with many of the concerns expressed by **Gytas** dingthe alignmentbetween MVPs and APMs. If MVPs are going to continue **polse** das an onramp to value based payment, there must be APMs for those participating in MVPs to transition **The** College agrees that there is a significant gap in the availability of **220224** APMs available for specialty practitioners. While CMS currently has process in place for interested parties to submit APM proposals the materialization of these proposals as not occurred The College encourages CMS to

continue to improve the MVP. ACP looks forward to continuing productive conversations and collaboration.

Measures in Optimizing Chronic Disease Marmaent MVP PFS 2023 Proposed Rule

Type of ACP Support

Summary: 10 measures ACP support: 5 ACP does not support; uncertain validity: 4 ACP does not support; invalid: 1

В	Μ		В
· Q006: Co	oronary ArterDisease (CAD)	Support,	
Antiplatelet Therapy		Valid	

clinicians to perform this intervention during an initi

	higher proportion of marginalized patient populations.
Gr⊢Do not	
Support,	Clinician & Group Surveys (CAHPS)
Uncertain	Survey results provide important feedback and
Validity	enhance the provider selection process for
	Uncertain

		Asthma Cotrol Test (ACT), it is best practice.
		However, the ACT is a proprietary assessment too
		and therefore, clinicians may encounter.
Q438: Statin Therapy for the	Support,	ACP supports QPP measure 4388 tatin Therapy for
Prevention and Treatment of	Valid	the Prevention and Treatment of Cardiovascular
Cardiovascular Disease		Disease." The performance gap has increased
		significantly due to new United States Preventive
		Task Force (USPSTF) and American College of
		Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA
		dinical recommendations on treatment of
		cardiovascular disease to expand therist patient
		population. Additionally, the balance of evidence
		provides a strong foundation for the treatment of
		blood cholesterol for the primary and secondary
		prevention of aherosclerotic cardiovascular disease
		in adult men and women. Furthermore, measure
		specifications include appropriate exclusion criteria
		for patient intolerance. While we support this
		measure, we note that implementation of statin
		therapy alone does not <b>gu</b> antee meaningful
		improvements in clinical outcomes. A more
		meaningful measure may examine patient adheren
		to prescribed statin therapy. Additionally, a high
		percentage of patients prescribed statin therapy for
		the management of cardiovascular disease
		exacerbations (e.g., acute MI) discontinue therapy
		without consulting their clinician. Therefore, the
		measure may unfairly penalize clinicians for lack of
		control over nonadherent patients.
· Q483: PersorCentered Primary Care	Do not	ACP does not support NQF 3568: "Persemtered
Measure Patient Reported Otdime	Support,	Primary Care Measure PROM (PCPCM PROM)" for
Performance Measure (PCPCM PRO	• •	application at the actual/intended level of analysis:
PM)		ce" because it
,		lacks validity. The ACP had concerns regarding
		whether the measure would lead to improvements
		care and a lack of evidence to indicate as much.
		There were also some problems regarding the face
		validity of the instrument and the feasibilitiand
		burden to implement this in a general internal
		medicine practice.

MMMBMBBAMMThis MVP providesanother option that is strongly tied to the daily practice of general internal medicine physicians and has<br/>been adapted from one of the MVPs submitted by ACP in February 2020.

Overall, we are pleased to see many of the chantgeshave been proposed by CMS with regards to

indicates support for eight of them, does not support four of them with uncertain validity, and has found one of

have provided our comments on that measure in the MIPS seatidnin the table below.

the quality measures

included in the Promoting Wellness MVP.

B M W

		organizations move towards teabased care, these
Q113: Colorectal Cancer Screening	Support, Valid	issues should be minimal in the future. ACP supports QPP measures. Colorectal cancer screening is an important clinical area. It is critical t improve access to evidence be evidence be evidence as a meaningful clinical impact. These evidence be evidence as a tests should be clearly identified as not all tests hav validity to support their use as standone screening tests. The ACP recommends modifying the numera to include only the types of tests that qualify as colorectal cancer screening, consistent with curren guidelines. It would also be beneficial to extend the numerator time interval for performing the colonoscopy from nine years to ten years to ensure
Q309: Cervical Cancer Screening	Support, Valid	the exam is ordered and performed adequately. ACP supports QPP meas <b>669</b> . ACP believes that the Cervical Cancer Screening is an important measure, given its ability to impact disease prevention. Current evidence supports this measur and it does not increase clinician burden or have a feasibility issues. The measure spectfores need clarity; the ACP recommends revising the specifications for better interpretation of the age appropriate screening tests. To avoid unnecessary screening, the ACP encourages the development of an overuse measure.
Q310: Chlamydia Screening for Women	Support, Valid	ACP support PP measure 31 Decause it aligns with recommendations from the United States Preventiv Services Task Force and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and evidence suppor screening in primary care as feasible and effective.
Q400: OneTime Screening fo Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) for all Patient	Support, Valid	ACP supports QPP measure 400: "Ome because a performance gap exists, it is important t screen for HCV in patients at risk because it is a treatable disease, the measure aligns with Centers Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommendations on screening for HCV in patients risk, and the measure specifications include appropriate exclusion criteria. Additionally, the USPSTF found little evidence on the harms of screening for HCV. While the measure is clearly specified, clinicians may encounter interoperability barriers to patient information retrieval. Also, while we support this measure, we suggest the measure developers reassess the benefit of screening all patients included in the denominator population

		during the measure update, particularly patients be in the years 1948 965.
Q475: HIV Screening	Do not Support,	ACP does not support MIPS measure ID# 475 (NG
	Uncertan Validity	uncertain validity. To the extent the intent of this

Q128: Preventive Care and Screenir Do not Body Mass Index (BMI) Screening and Support, Follow-Up Plan Uncertain Validity	developed, tested and endorsed at the health plan level, and for this reason, the MAP did not support this measure for use at the individual clinician and clinician group levels. Health plans have ready act to the information required for the measure. ACP does not support QPP measure 128: "Preven Care and Screening: BMI Screening and Fellpw The urgency posed the obesity epidemic underscores the need for evidence based and clinically meaningful performance measures.
---	--

	or only those seen during the calendar year in a factor
	to-face visit.
Q226: Preventive Care and Screenir Support,	ACP supports QPP measure 228 eventive Care
Tobacco Use: Screening and Cessatic Valid	and Screening: Tobacco use: Screening & Cessati
Intervention	Intervention" because reduction of tobacco use slo

		on clinicians. While we support this measure, we
		suggest the developers revise the numerator
		specifications to clearly define "brief counseling".
Q483: PersorCentered Primary Care	Do not	ACP does not support NQF 3568: "PerSoumtered
Measure Patient Reported Outcome	Support,	Primary Care Measure PRCM (PCPCM PRCM)" for
Performance Measure (PCPCM PRO	Not Valid	application at the actual/intended level of analysis:
PM)		
		lacks validity. The ACP had concerns regarding
		whether the measure would lead to improvements
		care and a lack of evidence to indicate as much.
		There were also some problems regarding the face
		validity of the instrument and the feasibility and
		burden to implement this in a general internal
		medicine practice.

While the College isgenerally supportive, AOP

quality measures included in the two VPs, however, many of the changes that are incorporated resonate with <u>comments</u> we have made in the past.

MVP Reporting Requirements

MACP continues to highlight that changestruly reinvent MIPS with MVPs, CMS must:

### Scoring MVP Performance

MACP supports applying the highest of scores reported. This encourages participation and minimizes errors thatould arise during subgroup selection or assignment. There is precedent with facility-based scoring. ACP supports physicians being able to select MVP reporting when submitting MIPS data at the end of a performance period (as opposed to midway througletformpance year). This approach provides more time to make the decision and better accounts for NPI/TIN changes during the performance year, which far outweigh any drawbacks. In general, flexibility in reporting is critical to reducing burden while increase clinical relevance and patienteredness.

#### B WBAMIA

## Advance Investment Payments

M Due to plateaued participation in MSSP and advocacy aimed at providing greater opportunities to ACOs serving underserved populations, CMS has proposed a substantial number of changes to the incentive structure of MSSP. One such promising proposal disatiloter revenue ACOs inexperienced with performanceased risk. While further thought may be warranted in the definition of high/low revenue ACOs as it impacts FQHCs/RHCs, this proposal seems to be a step in the right direction. Offering a one fixed payment provides a unique opportunity for certain ACOs to enter into accountable care agreements. The College is pleased to see the application of lessons learned from prior APMs applied to permanent programing.

## Glide Path

MACP is encouraged the proposal to allow ACOs inexperienced with downside risk up to seven years in onsided risk before transitioning to twe ided risk. The College agrees that the quick transition into downside risk may deter participation and that these proposals may urage participation by those in small, rural, and/or otherwise underserved communities.

#### eCQM/MIPS CQMs and Health Equity Adjustment

**M** The College is pleased with the p**osp** to extend the incentive for reporting eCQMs/MIPS CQMs through formance year 2024 to align with the sunsetting of the CMS Web

5% APM Bonus

ability to deliver innovative care and protecting the integrity of the Medicare trust funds. The College appreciates the opportunity to offer our feedback and lsds rward to continuing to work with the Agency to implement policies that support and improve the practice of internal medicine. Please contact Brian Outland, Ph.D., Director, Regulatory AffairstferAmericarCollege of Physicians, at boutland@acponline.orgr (202) 2614544 with comments or questions about the content of this letter.